

GUILTY OR INNOCENT

A negotiation education activity created by Sanaa Abu Saleh sanaabo@gmail.com

Summary

The pupils express their opinion by taking a standpoint for a case, ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Each pupil is asked to explore his/her thoughts in front of the others. The teacher puts/throws around phrases for the pupils to use in their discussion. A role-play between two pupils while other pupils are allowed to jump in and replace one of the speakers in order to strengthen a point of view. The pupils are asked to draw together on the same sheet the vocabulary learned in a neat and decorated way.

Learning Objectives

- They will learn the *Ladder of Inference*, so they will be able to share and inquire each other's data, interpretations and conclusions.
- They will be able to advocate their opinions while acknowledging the others'.
- The pupils are aware of the terminology used in the court.
- The pupils are aware of the responsibility of raising animals.
- The pupils will make decisions of their own.
- The pupils will know when to maximize or minimize the incident.





Lead-in / Preparation

- The pupils start studying about the animals in general and pet animals in specific. The pupils will elaborate over the issue if they like pets; what pets would they have and why. They are asked to read about animals in encyclopedias either searching in books or googling.
- In this site you'll read about animals, which ones would you like to know about? Why? <u>http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/elt/general_content/global/readers/Pages%2</u> <u>Ofrom%204236744%20FF%20Animal%20Kingdom.pdf?dm_i=1MVU,6EL7T,9YUZPU,P</u> <u>D6EV,1</u>
- The pupils come to the issue of do's and don'ts for pets. The pupils grant or withdraw legitimacy for having a pet.
- Then, the pupils study the case of the two dogs that attacked a neighbor and killed her. The dogs' owner was found guilty in the court. He was responsible for this kill. He was sentenced for 15 years in prison. The whole story is attached.

Estimated Class-Time Required

This compound activity could be run in 60 to review and cover all of the issues raised during learning/teaching this case.

But teaching the whole unit as a theme, needs up to 8 lessons.





Description of Activities

1. The class is divided into *four corners*; each corner is labelled as followed:

Strongly agree Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
----------------------	----------	-------------------

- 2. The pupils are asked to take a stand for the case that they had finished studying in class.
- 3. The teacher asks the pupils to share the others with their answers and justify them.
- 4. The main question here, "why do you have this standpoint?"
- 5. The teacher encourages the students to argue with each other each one with his standpoint.
- 6. There are charts for phrases to use all around them;

I agree because	My fear is	What matters to me	The law says that	Do we have an agreement over
I value your opinion, but	l care about	Can you help me understand	One option would be	I can't agree with you
The fact that	In similar cases	Why should we	I think it is fair that	I believe

- 7. The pupils advocate their standpoints while they acknowledge the others'.
- 8. The teacher choses two pupils from Strongly Agree and Strongly Disagree Corners, she asks them to be the judges while discussing before giving the sentence in the court. *(here starts the Fish Bowl activity)*
- 9. The teacher asks other pupils to interfere and jump in whenever they need to clarify or justify an attitude.
- 10. As a wrap up activity, the teacher asks each group to write her own *Vocabulary Collage* about the case they had studied for the last previous lessons.
- 11. All of the posters are hung around.

<u>©©©</u>



Key Vocabulary / Phrases

At the Court	Dogs breeding	Other
court	owner	look before you leap.
crime	belong to	fair vs. unfair
claim	leash	guilty vs. innocent
punishment	responsible	enemy vs. friend
prosecutor	bred to kill	enemy vs. neighbor
defender	bred to guard	pet vs. vicious
jury	attack	kill vs murder
judge	bite	
sentence, verdict	species of dogs	
found guilty	aggressive	
guilty of murder	peaceful	
advocacy		
law (lawyer)		
prison (imprisoned)		

<u>Assessment</u>

The pupils' participation in the activities and implementing the language they heard, listening to others and arguing with them makes achievement.

The teacher can give a handout asking the pupils to fill in during the sessions. The pupils can check for each other, if the data is right or wrong.

(An example is given at the end of this document.)

Reflection

Pupils speak about their previous experiences with animals, either their pets or others, or even speak about animals that they saw in the zoo. They speak how they loved or hated the experience. They share their feelings. Meanwhile, they use the vocabulary items suggested in the class by the book, teacher or other pupils.

So, at the end of the unit, the teacher asks the pupils to write about their experience with an animal; good or bad. They might draw a picture about that animal, too.

<u>©</u>080



<u>Comments</u>

In good classes, pupils can act the court scene itself; the judges, the lawyers, the advocacy, and Marjorie Knoller.

They can improvise the scenario for the event, each one maximizes his feelings while minimizing the others attitude.

The pupils are invited to visit the following site that speaks about the accident with photos, caption and more elaboration. So, they can understand the case from different aspects. <u>https://www.sfgate.com/news/article/The-Death-of-Diane-Whipple-3311937.php</u>

Assessment Example

Со	mplete the following sentences (according to the story).
•	Two big dogs attacked
•	Ms. Knoller's dogs were
•	The sentence was fair
•	The sentence was unfair
•	Mr. Knoller felt when her dogs
•	Ms. Knoller hurt herself when
•	The judge thinks that
•	The neighbors say that
•	The Knollers family knows that
•	If I were the judge, I will

